

*Syntactic microvariation in Romance: bridging synchrony and diachrony:
the case of SI*

Major syntactic differences between the medieval Romance languages and their modern counterparts have been noted for well over a century (Tobler 1875; Diez 1882; Thurneysen 1892; Meyer-Lübke 1889), with a body of more recent work highlighting important synchronic variation amongst the medieval languages (Vance, Donaldson & Steiner 2009; Wolfe 2015, Forthcoming), and diachronic variation observable in texts from different stages of the medieval period (Ledgeway 2009; Labelle & Hirschbühler 2017; Galves Forthcoming). In this talk, I focus on a particular aspect of the syntax of Medieval Romance: the grammar of the particle *SI*, which abounds across the early textual records, but eludes a satisfying analysis.

Based on a new hand-annotated corpus of seven Old French texts, I show that the numerous and frequently contradictory claims in the literature regarding *SI* (Marchello-Nizia 1985; Reenen & Schøsler 2000; Ledgeway 2008) can often be reconciled under an account where its formal characterisation, discourse-pragmatic value, and interaction with other areas of core clausal syntax varies markedly, both synchronically and diachronically, within the period conventionally referred to as 'Old French'. Specifically, I sketch a grammaticalisation pathway where *SI* becomes progressively bleached through a process of upwards reanalysis (Roberts & Roussou 2002). This entails a change from *SI* (>*SIC*) as an adverbial encoding temporal succession, to topic continuity marker (Fleischman 2000), then two distinct expletive stages, where *SI* acts as a last-resort mechanism to satisfy the Verb Second constraint. The core empirical observation is that there is large-scale variation between *SI* in 12th century and 13th century texts and, furthermore, small-scale variation in the syntax of *SI* across texts which are conventionally considered contemporaneous.

In the second part of the talk I bring in data from a range of Medieval Italo-Romance varieties, showing that *SI* in Sicilian, Florentine, Piedmontese and Venetian texts mirrors almost exactly the distribution of *SI* in 12th century French, but does not show the distributional properties of the highly grammaticalised element found in 13th century French.

The core intuition behind the analysis of Medieval Romance *SI* is that the element in question can occupy distinct positions within an articulated left periphery (on which see Rizzi 1997, Benincà & Poletto 2004 and Ledgeway 2010) during different stages of the grammaticalisation process. Furthermore, throughout its history, *SI* cannot be understood in isolation from ongoing changes in the Medieval Romance Verb Second property and its correlates (Wolfe 2016), but may also have a previously overlooked role in shaping a number of the morphosyntactic isoglosses observable within Romance-speaking Europe today. In particular, I suggest that differences in the syntax of Old French *SI* and its Old Italo-Romance counterparts may account for major contemporary Italo- vs. Gallo-Romance differences in the syntax of topicalisation, focus and the null subject property.

Overall, although *SI* may seem like a small and parochial area of Medieval Romance syntax, its synchronic and diachronic significance for an understanding of the evolution of Romance grammar cannot be underestimated.

- Fleischman, Suzanne. 2000. Methodologies and Ideologies in Historical Linguistics: On Working with Older Languages. In Susan C Herring, Pieter Th. van Reenen & Lene Schøsler (eds.), *Textual parameters in older languages*, 33–58. Amsterdam; Philadelphia, Pa.: John Benjamins.
- Galves, Charlotte. Forthcoming. Partial V2 in Classical Portuguese. In Theresa Biberauer, Sam Wolfe & Rebecca Woods (eds.), *Rethinking Verb Second. (Rethinking Comparative Syntax)*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Labelle, Marie & Paul Hirschbühler. 2017. Leftward Stylistic Displacement in Medieval French. In Eric Mathieu & Robert Truswell (eds.), *Micro-change and Macro-change in Diachronic Syntax*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Ledgeway, Adam. 2008. Satisfying V2 in early Romance: Merge vs. Move. *Journal of Linguistics* 44(02).
- Marchello-Nizia, Christiane. 1985. *Dire le vrai: L'adverbe <<si>> en français médiéval: Essai de linguistique historique.* (Publications Romanes et Françaises CLXVIII). Geneva: Droz.
- Roberts, Ian & Anna Roussou. 2002. *Syntactic change a minimalist approach to grammaticalization.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Vance, Barbara, Bryan Donaldson & B. Devan Steiner. 2009. V2 loss in Old French and Old Occitan: The role of fronted clauses. In Sonia Colina, Antxon Olarrea & Ana Maria Carvalho (eds.), *Romance Linguistics 2009. Selected papers from the 39th Linguistic Symposium on Romance Languages (LSRL)*, Tuscon, Arizona, 301–320. (Current Issues in Linguistic Theory 315). Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Wolfe, Sam. Forthcoming. Redefining the V2 Typology: The View from Medieval Romance and Beyond. (Ed.) Christine M. Salvesen. *Linguistic Variation(Special Issue: A Micro-Perspective on V2 in Germanic and Romance)*.
- Wolfe, Sam. 2015. The Old Sardinian Condaghes. A Syntactic Study. *Transactions of the Philological Society* 113(2). 177–205.